# The Broad-Band X-ray Spectrum of Cygnus X-1 Measured by INTEGRAL



Marion Cadolle Bel Service d'Astrophysique, CEA-Saclay, France Internal INTEGRAL Workshop, Noordwijk, 18 - 21 January 2005

P. Sizun, A. Goldwurm, P. Laurent, A. Zdziarski, J. Malzac, E. Jourdain, J-P. Roques, P. Goldoni, J. Rodriguez, C. Gouiffès & L. Foschini

# Cygnus X-1

Bright X-ray emission (1964)

High mass X-ray binary

- 2 kpc

- orbital period: 5.6 days

- wind accretion 10  $M_{\odot}$  black hole 18  $M_{\odot}$  giant (09.7 I) companion

#### Complex spectral-timing behaviour

- incoherent fast X-ray variability
- hard spectrum above 100 keV
- persistent X-ray emission

First black hole prototype

## Two Main Spectral States



#### High/Soft

Dominant soft X-ray thermal component; photon spectral index = 2.2

- Low/Hard (90% time)
- Low flux for soft X-rays, strong flux for hard photons (keV) Photon spectral index 1.5-2, cut-off at E = 100 keV: comptonization

# **Observation Log**

PV-Phase (27<sup>th</sup> November - 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2002)

GPS (between 2003, March and 2004, September)

Open Time (7 - 11<sup>th</sup> June, 2003)

Calibration in 2004, November 22<sup>nd</sup>

# ASM Light Curve



Spectral transitions probably occurring

## Zioomisaone du Bal SANS GRal Lighst/CGAGes and Light differs relatio



Changes in IBIS/ISGRI - Corresponding hardness ratio?

# Corresponding Hardness Ratio



#### December 02 Spectra



 $kT = 93^{+18}_{-12} keV$  weak disc component ~ 0.2 L<sub>tot</sub>  $t = 1.5 \pm 0.2$  Model = absorbed black body disc  $\frac{1}{3}$  comptonization Adding then reflection  $5 \pm 10$  keV is an components Fe line 6.4  $\pm$  0.4 keV not well constraine Fe line 6.4  $\pm$  0.4 keV not well constraine

#### June 03 Spectra



 $kT = 104 \pm 16 \text{ keV}$ t = 0.3 ± 0.1

92 - 188 (dof - 230)

disc:  $kT_{in} = 1.22 \text{ keV}$ , comp. ~ 0.4  $L_{tot}$ L <sub>0.5-10 keV</sub>: 6.6 10<sup>36</sup> ergs s<sup>-1</sup> Fe line 7.1 ± 0.1 keV well defined EW = 190 eV: reflection 0.58 ± 0.05

## GPS 03-04 Spectra



## Preliminary Spectral Parameters in GPS 03-04

Group	Gr. 1	Gr. 2	Gr. 3	Gr. 4	Gr. 5
Parameters					
kT (keV)	59 <b>±</b> 20	106±49	61 <b>±</b> 20	57±10	113 <b>±</b> 72
t	2.5 <b>±</b> 0.5	0.3±0.2	0.6±0.3	2.5 <b>±</b> 0.1	0.2±0.1
Disc (kT <sub>in</sub> )	weak	1.5 keV	1.1 keV	weak	1.4 keV
L <sub>0.5-10 keV</sub>	0.2 L <sub>tot</sub>	0.4 L <sub>tot</sub>	0.4 L <sub>tot</sub>	0.4 L <sub>tot</sub>	0.5 L <sub>tot</sub>
Fe line	6.3 <b>±</b> 0.3	6.7±0.8	7.1±0.1	6.0±0.5	7 <b>±</b> 2
(keV)	(bad)	(bad)	(good)	(bad)	(bad)
EW (eV)	557	297	89	721	469
Reflection	0.4 <b>±</b> 0.1	0.8±0.2	0.3±0.1	0.3 <b>±</b> 0.1	0.4 <b>±</b> 0.2
angle					
? <sup>2</sup> réd	1.02	1.08	1.02	0.78	1.45
(dof=185)					
	ΗΔΡΟ	SOFT	SOFT	HARD	SOFT

#### November 04 Spectra



 $kT = 94 \pm 44 \text{ keV}$ t = 0,4 ± 0.2

 $?_{ród}^2 = 1,05 \text{ (dof } = 209)$ 

disc:  $kT_{in} = 1.27 \text{ keV}$ , comp. ~ 0.4  $L_{tot}$ L <sub>0.5-10 keV</sub>: 6.8 10<sup>36</sup> ergs s<sup>-1</sup> Fe line 7.8 ± 0.6 keV well defined reflection 0.35 ± 0.15

# December 02 and June 03



## Conclusions

- Evolutions from hard (December 02, GPS) to soft states (June 03, GPS) thanks to combined JEMX, IBIS and SPI spectra
- Probably intermediate states instead of real soft states (conclusion also supported by radio observations, see Malzac et al. 04
- Comptonization models work well (typical for such a black hole)
- Fe lines and reflection values consistent with precedent studies
- Future work:
- Other models (eqpair, compps, ...) to be tested on all available data
- SPI high-energy data significance requires investigation
- Study of fast variability (QPOs)

### Thanks for your attention !

## Spectra comparisons in E<sup>2</sup> f(E) December 02 and June 03





# The INTEGRAL Satellite



INTERnational Gamma Ray Astrophysical Laboratory (ESA) I mager I BIS (2 detectors I SGRI, PICsIT): 13 keV to 10 MeV Spectrometer (SPI): 20 keV to 8 MeV 

Large energy coverage 

## Spectral Parameters

Gr. 1 ?<sup>2</sup><sub>réd</sub> = 1.02 (dof = 185) HARD  $kT = 59 \pm 20 \text{ keV}$ ,  $t = 2.5 \pm 0.5$ , weak disc component ~ 0.3 L<sub>tot</sub> Fe line 6.3  $\pm$  0.3 keV not well defined, reflection ~ 0.35  $\pm$  0.07 Gr. 2 ?<sup>2</sup><sub>réd</sub> = 1.08 (dof = 185) SOFT  $kT = 106 \pm 49 \text{ keV}, t = 0.3 \pm 0.2, kT_{in} = 1.46 \text{ keV}, \text{ comp.} \sim 0.5 L_{tot}$ Fe line 6  $\pm$  2 keV not well defined, reflection ~ 0.8  $\pm$  0.2 Gr. 3 ?<sup>2</sup><sub>réd</sub> = 1.02 (dof = 185) SOFT  $kT = 61 \pm 20 \text{ keV}$ ,  $t = 0.6 \pm 0.3$ ,  $kT_{in} = 1.11 \text{ keV}$ , comp. ~ 0.5  $L_{tot}$ Fe line 7.1 ± 0.1 keV well constrained, reflection ~ 0.3 ± 0.1 Gr. 4 ?<sup>2</sup><sub>réd</sub> = 0.78 (dof = 185) HARD kT = 57  $\pm$  10 keV, t = 2.5  $\pm$  0.05, weak disc component ~ 0.3 L<sub>tot</sub> Fe line 6.0  $\pm$  0.5 keV not well defined, reflection ~ 0.28  $\pm$  0.04 **Gr**. 5  $?_{réd}^2 = 1.45 \text{ (dof} = 185)$  **SOFT**  $kT = 113 \pm 72 \text{ keV}, t = 0.2 \pm 0.1, kT_{in} = 1.41 \text{ keV}, \text{ comp.} \sim 0.6 L_{tot}$ Fe line 6  $\pm$  2 keV not well defined, reflection ~ 0.36  $\pm$  0.21